L		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/762,589	LUI, BASIL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dwin M. Craig	2123
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. X This communication is responsive to <u>7-7-2005</u> .		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,5-7 and 19-21</u> .		
3.		
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview Sur Paper No./M 7. Examiner's A	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) nmary (PTO-413), lail Date mendment/Comment tatement of Reasons for Allowance

Application/Control Number: 09/762,589

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

And

EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 19-21 are allowed.
- 2. Claims 4 and 8-18 and 22-24 have been cancelled.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 3.1 As regards independent claims 1 and 5, the following limitations, in combination with other limitations are neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art, "calculating the enhanced generation recombination rate due to trap-to-band tunneling in a semiconductor device using the said simple exponential functions". The Examiner notes that independent claims 1 and 5 contain numerous steps involving numerous variables to more accurately model the leakage current in a polysilicon Thin Film Transistor. It is further noted by the Examiner that in the case of claim 5 the Applicant is drawing structural support from the specification through the use of "means for" language, See In re Donaldson Co., 16 F.3d 1189, 29 USPQ2d 1845 (Fed. Cir. 1994) and page(s) 1-7 and Figures 1-9.
- 3.2 As regards independent claim 2, the following limitations, in combination with other limitations are neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art, The Examiner notes that the cited formula expressly disclosed in the amended claim 2 is neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art. The Examiner further notes that the terms of this formula are defined in Applicant's specification on page(s) 2-7 of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,589

Art Unit: 2123

þ

3.3 As regards independent claim 3, the following limitations, in combination with other limitations are neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art. The Examiner notes that the cited formula expressly disclosed in the amended claim 3 is neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art. The Examiner further notes that the terms of this formula are defined in Applicant's specification on page(s) 2-7 of the specification.

Page 3

- 3.4 As regards independent claim 6, the following limitations, in combination with other limitations are neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art. The Examiner notes that the cited formula expressly disclosed in the amended claim 6 is neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art. The Examiner further notes that the terms of this formula are defined in Applicant's specification on page(s) 2-7 of the specification.
- 3.5 As regards independent claim 7, the following limitations, in combination with other limitations are neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art. The Examiner notes that the cited formula expressly disclosed in the amended claim 7 is neither anticipated nor made obvious by the prior art. The Examiner further notes that the terms of this formula are defined in Applicant's specification on page(s) 2-7 of the specification.
- As regards dependent claims 19, 20 and 21 they are allowed as they depend upon an 3.6 allowed base claim.
- 3.7 Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2123

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwin M. Craig whose telephone number is (571) 272-3710. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P. Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMC

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2125